



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,607	11/12/1999	YOHEI NAKANISHI	0941.63416	1854
7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
PATRICK G BURNS ESQ GREER BURNS CRAIN LTD 300 Wacker Drive Suite 2500 CHICAGO, IL 60606			DUONG, TAI V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	09/439,607	Applicant(s)	NAKANISHI ET AL. <i>U</i>
Examiner	Tai Duong	Art Unit	2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2003 and 11 July 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1, 3-11, 16, 17, 18, 20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1, 3-11, 1617, 18, 20 and 21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) Other: _____

Election/Restrictions

Due to the amendments of claims 1, 11 and 18 that result in newly presented combinations recited in claims 5, 6, 16, 17 and 20, an election/restriction is now required. Amended claims 1, 11 and 18 are the combination of original claims 1 and 2, the combination of original claims 11 and 15, and the combination of original claims 18 and 19, respectively.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3-11, 16 and 17 are drawn to a liquid crystal display (LCD) device, classified in class 349, subclass 141.
- II. Claims 18, 20 and 21 are drawn to a method of fabricating a LCD device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the claimed product can be made by methods wherein the domains are formed by a rubbing process (instead of using polarized ultraviolet (UV) radiation) or wherein a liquid crystal layer has an initial low resistivity is used (instead of introducing an impurity into the LC layer).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa and because of

Art Unit: 2871

their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further, Group I and Group II each contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I

A(I): claims 1 and 3-6 drawn to a LCD device of First Embodiment (Figs. 3 and 4).

B(I): claims 7-10 drawn to a LCD device of Third Embodiment (Fig. 5).

C(I): claims 11, 16 and 17 drawn to a LCD device of Fourth Embodiment (Fig. 11).

Group II

D(II): claims 18 and 20 drawn to a method of fabrication of a LCD using polarized UV radiation.

E(II): claim 21 drawn to a method of fabrication of a LCD comprising the step of introducing an impurity into the LC layer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of the elected Group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/439,607
Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number 703 308-4873.


TVD

10/03


TOANTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER